



Additional Comments from WN CD Survey

The additional comments submitted by residents closely mirrored the survey results. Most expressed support for preserving the unique character, scale, and architectural identity of Wynnewood North, particularly by ensuring that new construction and redevelopment remain compatible with the established neighborhood. Many also emphasized the importance of protecting mature trees while encouraging a balanced approach that avoids unnecessary regulation of existing homes or routine property improvements. Overall, the comments reflected broad support for thoughtful, reasonable standards that preserve neighborhood character while respecting individual property rights, with some concern expressed about the potential for overly restrictive design requirements.

Additional Comments:

- *I would like to see reasonable constraints to keep the basic character of the neighborhood without becoming so restrictive that owners can't control their own property.*
- *I am very interested in this passing. I think it is important to the community. I'd want to focus much more on new construction than anything already existing.*
- *MOST interested in the height of new structures, the sq footage of the homes on the lots (maintaining setbacks, etc.).*
- *I am interested in preserving the current style and architecture of the neighborhood.*

Additional Comments (cont.):

- *We are very interested in the preservation of historic trees*
- *Wish the options in the survey provided little more detail as to current zoning but maybe that's for later discussions.*
- *I'd like to see the general look of the neighborhood preserved without the CD being too overly strict about what we can do with our home remodels. Instead, I'd like to focus on new construction rules to prevent the demo of old homes to make way for townhomes, etc. that do not fit within the neighborhood or destruction of trees.*

Additional Comments (cont.):

My main concerns and interest are with limiting the size of a single-family residence in the neighborhood. I have no interest in any individual, group of individuals, or governing entities telling any property owner what architectural style, materials, and colors may or may not be used on their own land. The concept of keeping the neighborhood looking exactly like it does now is regressive, stagnant, and anachronistic not to mention authoritarian. This limits the freedom of individual expression and in my mind is contrary to the cultural diversity of Oak Cliff. As a licensed architect, I embrace the style preferences of my clients and cannot imagine not doing the same for my own neighbors. Certainly, no one stopped Robert A. White and Bud Oglesby from designing contemporary homes in the neighborhood that were not traditional ranch styles so why stop the potential implementation of current modern architecture now?

Additional Comments (cont.):

- *Fence heights or driveways and other areas that do not substantially impact the neighborhood should not be regulated. Do NOT over regulate. Do a light touch to achieve our purpose. Keep restrictions narrow and only to maintain the character of Wynnewood North but let people build and improve their property they way they want. We do not want to control people. Where existing standards are sufficient (such as setbacks) not change it. Do not fix what is not broken.*
- *More interested in ensuring continuity than decorative design choices.*
- *My answers pertain to the front and side elevations. I personally do not like painted bricks. However, there could be exceptions if bricks to an addition do not match.*

Additional Comments (cont.):

- *Preserving existing trees in front yards is a big priority for us alongside making sure we don't turn into the M Streets*